United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/460,361	12/13/1999	AKIRA UTSUMI	·-	2392
7590 02/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Jay P. Lessler			PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C	
Darby & Darby, P.C. 805 Third Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10022			1771	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Lauricetion No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
0.551 A. d. O	09/460,361	AKIRA UTSUMI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher C Pratt	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 L	December 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	- to the marite is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-13 and 15-27 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-13 and 15-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and the specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification of the speci	or election requirement.	ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Appli Pority documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/460,361

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments and accompanying remarks filed 12/16/03 have been entered and carefully considered. Applicant's amendment is not found to patently distinguish the claims over the prior art and Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-13, and 15-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nemoto (6102465) in view of Nagata (6312542).

Applicant argues that the instant rejection fails to teach the thickness of the rigid layer. However, as applicant points out, Nagata teaches the total thickness of the laminate to be from 2-80mm (col. 1 and col. 6, lines 9-16). Applicant argues that this teaches away from forming the rigid layer to have a thickness of less than 2mm.

It is the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the thickness of the layers from .6 to 2mm. Nagata teaches the total thickness of the laminate to be 2mm. Therefore, the thickness of the individual layers would have to be below 2mm. The skilled artisan would have been motivated to utilize a thickness from .6 to 2mm in order to achieve Nagata's thickness teachings. Modifying the thickness of the layers is well within the ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 1771

and would have been done to optimize the properties of the laminate and to render the laminate suitable for fitting into various applications.

Applicant argues that it would not have been obvious to modify the tensile strength of the laminate. As previously set forth, Applicant's claimed tensile strength may be inherent in the laminate of Nemoto. In the alternative, she skilled artisan would have been motivated to increase tensile strength by the desire to create a superior low spring layer.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Pratt whose telephone number is 571-232-1480. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher C. Pratt February 17, 2004